1 2	Nadia Aziz (State Bar No. 252966) nadia.aziz@lawfoundation.org Erin Neff (State Bar No. 326579)	James C. Harrison (State Bar No. 161958) Robin B. Johansen (State Bar No. 79084) Margaret R. Prinzing(State Bar No. 209482)	
3	erin.neff@lawfoundation.org LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON	Kristen Mah Rogers (State Bar No. 274672) Sue Vang (State Bar No. 327655)	
4	VALLEY 4 North Second Street, Suite 1300	OLSON REMCHO LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1550	
5	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 280-2410	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 346-6200	
6	Facsimile: (408) 293-0106		
7	Quyen L. Ta (State Bar No. 229956) qta@kslaw.com	Jannie L. Quinn (State Bar No. 137588) Interim City Attorney Office Of The City Attorney	
8	Arwen R. Johnson (State Bar No. 247583) ajohnson@kslaw.com Kelly Perigoe (State Bar No. 268872)	500 Castro Street, Suite 300 Mountain View CA 94041	
9	kperigoe@kslaw.com Samuel R. Diamant (State Bar No. 288738)	Telephone: (650) 903-6303	
10	sdiamant@kslaw.com Rachel Rubens (State Bar No. 333886)	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	rrubens@kslaw.com KING & SPALDING LLP		
12	50 California Street, Suite 3300		
13	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 318-1227		
14			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional counsel listed on signature page]		
16			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
20	CELERINA NAVARRO, JANET STEVENS,	Case No. 5:21-cv-05381-NC	
21	ARMANDO COVARRUBIAS, EVELYN ESTRADA, GABRIEL RANGEL JAIME,	SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION	
22	ALMA ALDACO, and all others similarly situated,	AND ENFORCEMENT AND CONTINUE LITIGATION	
23	Plaintiffs, v.	SCHEDULE	
24	THE CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW,		
25			
26	Defendant.		
27 28			
40			

SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT AND CONTINUE LITIGATION SCHEDULE - Case No. 5:21-cv-05381-NC

SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT AND CONTINUE LITIGATION SCHEDULE - Case No. 5:21-cv-05381-NC

and draft a settlement agreement, seek final approval from the Mountain View City Council, and, if necessary, seek Court approval.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate as follows:

- The Parties agree to a further 90-day stay of litigation to commence on April 6, 1. 2022, and to end 90 days later on July 4, 2022.
- 2. The City agrees to not issue any citations or tow any oversized vehicles for violations of the Ordinances during the 90-day stay described in paragraph 1.
- 3. The Parties request that the Court sign the [Proposed] Order below to continue the following deadlines and trial date established by the Court's January 5, 2022, Order to Stay Litigation and Enforcement and Continue Litigation Schedule for 90 days, as follows:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Dates
Fact discovery closes	June 30, 2022	September 28, 2022
Concurrent disclosure of opening expert witnesses and reports by both parties on issues for which party bears burden of proof	June 30, 2022	September 28, 2022
Concurrent disclosure of rebuttal expert witnesses and reports by both parties	August 4, 2022	November 2, 2022
Expert discovery closes	August 25, 2022	November 23, 2022
Last day for dispositive motions to be filed	September 22, 2022	December 21, 2022
Last day for hearing on dispositive motions	December 6, 2022	March 6, 2023
Pretrial statements due	January 24, 2023	April 24, 2023
Pretrial Conference	February 7, 2023	May 8, 2023
Trial	March 13, 2023	June 12, 2023

- 4. The Parties may withdraw from this Agreement if the Court does not order the full 90-day continuance requested in Paragraph 3. To do so, the Party or Parties seeking to withdraw must notify the other Party or Parties via email within three business day of the Court's partial grant or denial of this [Proposed] Order.
- 5. Plaintiffs may lift the 90-day stay of litigation for good cause after providing 15 days' notice. To do so, Plaintiffs will notify Counsel for the City via email of their intent to lift the stay by including a description of the issue(s) constituting "good cause." The Parties will engage in good faith meet and confer efforts to resolve the issue(s), commencing no later than 7 days after Plaintiffs provide notice. If the parties are unable to resolve the issue(s) within 15 days of Plaintiffs providing notice, the Parties may recommence litigation no sooner than 15 days after Plaintiffs provide notice.
- A. Good cause for purposes of this stipulation includes any or all of the following circumstances:
- i. The City issuing a citation for a violation of the Narrow Streets
 Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance.
- ii. The City towing a vehicle for a violation of the Narrow Streets
 Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance.
- iii. City officials harassing an occupant(s) of an oversized vehicle, which is defined for purposes of this stipulation to mean threatening to arrest an occupant of an oversized vehicle for a violation of the Narrow Streets Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance; threatening to issue a ticket or initiate a tow of an oversized vehicle for a violation of the Narrow Streets Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance before the Termination Date; or engaging in an interaction over the Narrow Streets Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance in a manner that reasonably causes the occupant to conclude that the occupant has been threatened or intimidated.
- iv. Plaintiffs producing evidence that one or more oversized vehicle occupant has been forced to re-locate from Mountain View because the occupant was either denied a space or no space was available in all of the lots available for safe parking in Mountain View and because there were no legal overnight parking spaces available in the City on certain

dates Plaintiffs will specify. Plaintiffs will consider in good faith any evidence the City produces of available legal parking spaces for oversized vehicles in the City.

- B. Good cause for purposes of this stipulation shall not include:
- i. City officials notifying occupants of oversized vehicles that the Ordinances are in effect on that street and/or notifying occupants of oversized vehicles that they should relocate from the covered street, provided that the official provides the documentation described in paragraph 8 below.
- ii. City officials notifying occupants of oversized vehicles that oversized vehicles could be ticketed or towed after the Termination Date.
- iii. City officials enforcing ordinances or laws other than the Narrow Streets Ordinance or Bike Lane Ordinance.
- 6. The deadline for the Parties to respond to discovery will be tolled for the duration of the stay and shall reset thirty days after the end of the stay. The deadline for Plaintiffs to amend the Complaint will be tolled for the duration of the stay and shall reset thirty days after the end of the stay. For example, should the stay end on May 30, 2022, the deadline for the City to respond to Plaintiffs' discovery and Plaintiffs' deadline to amend the Complaint will be June 29, 2022. The Parties hereby agree that they will therefore seek a 120-day extension of time for Plaintiffs to amend their Complaint to July 31, 2022, but acknowledge that the deadline for Plaintiffs to amend the Complaint may be earlier than the Court-ordered deadline if the litigation stay is lifted before 90 days.
- 7. If Plaintiffs lift the litigation stay pursuant to paragraph 5, the City may simultaneously lift the stay on ticketing and towing under the Narrow Streets and Bike Lane Ordinances.
- 8. Throughout the 90-day stay, a Mountain View police officer will provide an occupant of an oversized vehicle with the document attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Scott Nelson In Support of Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion For Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 43-3) whenever a police officer requests that an occupant of an oversized vehicle relocate to a new street in order to comply with the Narrow Streets Ordinance or Bike

1	Lane Ordinance. Exhibit A will be modified to include:		
2	A. In bold letters at the top of the document: "Oversized Vehicles will not be		
3	ticketed or towed for failure to comply with the Narrow Streets or Bike Lane Ordinances before		
4	July 4, 2022."		
5	B. "The City has agreed not to ticket or tow oversized vehicles for violat	ing	
6	the Narrow Streets or Bike Lane Ordinances (Mountain View Ordinance Nos. 15.19 and 14.	19)	
7	for an additional 90 days in order to try to resolve Navarro v. City of Mountain View, a lawsuit		
8	that has been filed to challenge the Ordinances by organizations including the Law Foundation		
9	Silicon Valley. This 90-day period ends on July 4, 2022. For more information about this		
10	agreement, go to [insert address for page on City's Narrow Streets webpage on the City's		
11	website]." The webpage will include only a copy of this stipulation, fully executed, and the		
12	accompanying Court order.		
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
14			
15	Respectfully submitted,		
16	DATED: March 28, 20222		
17	/s/ Natia Aziz /s/ Wittam 5. Preeman		
18	i indua.azizwiaw iodindation.org w iiccinanwaciunc.org		
19	gzcipiiii(d)aciuiic.01g		
20	LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY 4 North Second Street, Suite 1300 Brandon Greene (SBN 293783) bgreene@aclunc.org		
21	San Jose, CA 95113 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORN	JIA	
22	Facsimile: (408) 293-0106 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111		
23	\(\langle s \) Thomas \(\text{Zito} \) Telephone: (415) 621-2493		
24	tzito@dralegal.org		
25	DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES Deanna L. Kwong (SBN 233480) deanna l.kwong@hpe.com		
26	Berkeley, California 94704-1204 HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE 6280 America Center Drive		
27	Telephone: (510) 665-8644		
28	Pro Bono Counsel		
	5		

/s/ Quyen L. Ta
Quyen L. Ta (SBN 229956) qta@kslaw.com
Arwen R. Johnson (SBN 247583) arwen.johnson@kslaw.com
Kelly Perigoe (SBN 268872)
kperigoe@kslaw.com Samuel R. Diamant (SBN 288738)
sdiamant@kslaw.com
Rachel Rubens (SBN 333886) rrubens@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
50 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300
Pro Bono Counsel
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
/s/ James C. Harrison
James C. Harrison (SBN 161958) jharrison@olsonremcho.com
Robin B. Johansen (SBN 79084)
rjohansen@olsonremcho.com Margaret R. Prinzing (SBN 209482)
mprinzing@olsonremcho.com
Kristen Mah Rogers (SBN 274672) krogers@olsonremcho.com
Sue Vang (SBN 327655)
svang@olsonremcho.com
OLSON REMCHO LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1550
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 346-6200 Facsimile: (510) 574-7061
raesinine. (310) 374-7001
Attorneys for Defendant City of Mountain View
City of Modificant View

ORDER

Upon review of the foregoing stipulation of the Parties, and the Court finding good cause for the same, the time for Plaintiffs to file an amended Complaint is hereby extended for 120 days, up to and including July 31, 2022, and the following deadlines are set:

Event	Dates
Fact discovery closes	September 28, 2022
Concurrent disclosure of opening expert witnesses and reports by both parties on issues for which party bears burden of proof	September 28, 2022
Concurrent disclosure of rebuttal expert witnesses and reports by both parties	November 2, 2022
Expert discovery closes	November 23, 2022
Last day for dispositive motions to be filed	December 21, 2022
Last day for hearing on dispositive motions	March 6, 2023
Pretrial statements due	April 26, 2023
Pretrial Conference	May 10, 2023
Trial	June 12, 2023

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 20, 2022



I, Margaret R. Prinzing, attest that all signatories listed herein, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in this filing's content and have authorized this filing.

By: /s/ Margaret R. Prinzing